

Fall 12-1-2012

Recovery Among Homeless Populations with Severe Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders

Abigail M. Cross
Case Western Reserve University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.case.edu/intersections-fa20>



Part of the [Psychology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Cross, Abigail M., "Recovery Among Homeless Populations with Severe Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders" (2012). *Intersections Fall 2020*. 12.

<https://commons.case.edu/intersections-fa20/12>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Intersections: CWRU Undergraduate Poster Session at Scholarly Commons @ Case Western Reserve University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Intersections Fall 2020 by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons @ Case Western Reserve University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@case.edu.

Recovery Among Homeless Populations with Severe Mental Illness and Substance Use Disorders

Abigail M. Cross

Department of Psychological Sciences
Case Western Reserve University



CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY EST. 1826

STATISTICS

20%

Percentage of homeless people in the US with a severe mental illness (SMI)

15%

Percentage of homeless people in the US with a substance use disorder (SUD)

(HUD, 2019)

INTRODUCTION

Homelessness in the US is generally defined as not having a permanent or quality source of shelter or housing (HUD, 2020)

METHOD

Search Terms: “homeless*”; “mental* ill*”; “substance *use*”; “recovery”; “social support”; “United States”

Main source of information acquired from *Web of Science* Database, Google for general information sources

RECOVERY MODEL

Clinical Recovery	Recovery (improvements) from SMI and/or SUD
Functional Recovery	Ability to maintain stable housing and, if applicable, employment
Existential Recovery	Having good sense of self-worth and purpose
Social Recovery	Ability to maintain good social interactions with peers
Physical Recovery	Recovery from any underlying chronic illness; maintaining good physical health

(Whitley & Drake, 2010)

KEY FINDINGS

- The Housing First ideology is more effective than Treatment First (Palepu et al., 2013); PSH is more effective than temporary housing programs for this population in terms of maintaining housing—Functional Recovery (Kaltsidis et al., 2020)
- Overall quality of life (QoL) better for persons in PSH with a HF model than in Treatment First models—Existential Recovery (Urbanoski et al., 2017)
- Housing programs that operate under the HF model are not perfect for this population (Dunt et al., 2017)
 - Issues with clinical recovery from SUD
 - Could be complicated by existing social supports (peers) who are perceived to use drugs (Rhoades et al., 2018)
- The **Doorway Program** is a new possibility that improves outcomes, allowing persons to choose where they live (Dunt et al., 2017)
 - Has not been thoroughly studied
 - Only studied in Australia

DISCUSSION

- Improved programs using the HF ideology will attempt to account for all aspects of recovery laid out in the model
 - It is absolutely necessary to make changes to alleviate some of the difficulties that this population in particular experiences
- Possible changes/Next Steps:
 - More opportunities for those with SUD to obtain social supports and meet peers who do not use substances
 - Supports in place that help maintain retention
 - More research conducted that evaluates the effectiveness of the Doorway Program

Limitations

- Physical Recovery
 - Sources gathered did not primarily examine physical recovery among this population
- COVID-19
 - Updated government documents from HUD are needed to be able to assess the effects of COVID-19 on this population
 - The effects of COVID-19 on the economy likely affects its ability to implement updated supports
- Policy and Cost
 - Changes to existing housing programs are likely to seem expensive, even if they may be cheaper in the long run

CONCLUSION

Having co-occurring SUD and SMI adversely affects homeless persons’ abilities to recover and maintain stability in many aspects of life. Adjustments to the current system are necessary in order to make sure that this population has a greater chance to succeed in the long run.

KEY REFERENCES

Committee on an Evaluation of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs for Homeless Individuals, Science and Technology for Sustainability Program Policy and Global Affairs, & Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice Health and Medicine Division. (2018). Addressing Homelessness in the United States. In *Permanent supportive housing: Evaluating the evidence for improving health outcomes among people experiencing chronic homelessness* (pp. 19-37). Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi:10.17226/25133

Dunt, D. R., Benoy, A. W., Phillipou, A., Collister, L. L., Crowther, E. M., Freidin, J., & Castle, D. J. (2017). Evaluation of an integrated housing and recovery model for people with severe and persistent mental illnesses: The Doorway program. *Australian Health Review*, 41(5), 573. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AH16055>

Kaltsidis, G., Grenier, G., Cao, Z., Bertrand, K., & Fleury, M. (2020). Predictors of change in housing status over 12 months among individuals using emergency shelters, temporary housing or permanent housing in Quebec, Canada. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, hsc.13168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13168>

Palepu, A., Patterson, M. L., Moniruzzaman, A., Frankish, C. J., & Somers, J. (2013). Housing First Improves Residential Stability in Homeless Adults With Concurrent Substance Dependence and Mental Disorders. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(2), e30–e36. <https://doi.org/10.2195/AJPH.2013.301628>

Rhoades, H., La Motte-Kerr, W., Duan, L., Woo, D., Rice, E., Henwood, B., Harris, T., & Wenzel, S. L. (2018). Social networks and substance use after transitioning into permanent supportive housing. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 191, 63–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugaledep.2018.06.027>

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). (2020). *The 2019 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress, Part 1: Point-in-Time Estimates of Homelessness*. Retrieved November 3, 2020, from <https://www.hud.gov/sites/dfiles/docs/2019-ahar-part-1>

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). (2019). *HUD 2019 Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs Homeless Populations and Subpopulations*. Retrieved November 3, 2020, from <https://www.hud.gov/sites/dfiles/docs/2019-ahar-part-2>

Urbanoski, K., Veldhuizen, S., Krausz, M., Schutz, C., Somers, J. M., Kirst, M., Fleury, M.-J., Stergiopoulos, V., Patterson, M., Strehlau, V., & Goering, P. (2018). Effects of comorbid substance use disorders on outcomes in a Housing First intervention for homeless people with mental illness. *Addiction*, 113(1), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13928>

Whitley, R., & Drake, R. E. (2010). Recovery: A Dimensional Approach. *Psychiatric Services*, 61(12), 1248–1250. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.61.12.1248>

Ideologies

Treatment First: Treatment is required before acceptance to housing



Housing First (HF): Housing is prioritized, and treatment isn't a requirement to obtain housing

Committee on evaluating Permanent Supportive Housing Programs for Homeless Individuals et al., 2018; HUD, 2020)

PROGRAM TYPES

Rapid Rehousing
Operate under a HF ideology; housing is temporary

Transitional Housing
Housing is temporary, and supports are provided for up to 2 years

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
Housing is permanent, and supports are provided in addition to housing

Homelessness is a pertinent social issue in the United States today. Persons with co-occurring SMI and SUD have the added difficulty of recovery from their disorders while attempting to obtain and maintain housing.

Thesis: Programs based on the Housing First model, though effective to an extent, can be improved by implementing a variety of supports that will enhance recovery of homeless populations with co-occurring severe mental illness and substance abuse problems.

CoEPSH et al., 2018; HUD, 2020)